



Interpretation and Discourse Analysis
An international, peer-reviewed scientific journal
specializing in language and literature.
Published by the Laboratory of Interpretation and
Discourse Analysis, Faculty of Arts and Languages,
Abderrahmane Mira University of Béjaïa, Algeria.



ISSN: 2716-814X

Author Guidelines

1. Submission of Manuscripts

The journal welcomes original scholarly articles that fall within its scope and meet its academic, technical, and ethical requirements.

All submissions must be made exclusively through the Algerian Scientific Journals Platform (ASJP):

<https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/PresentationRevue/760>

Authors must register on the platform or log in to their existing accounts, complete the required submission information, and upload their articles in Microsoft Word format.

2. General Submission Requirements

Submitted articles must meet the following requirements:

- The article must be original and offer a clear scholarly contribution.
- The article must fall within the journal's scope and areas of interest.
- The article must not have been published previously or be under consideration by another journal simultaneously.
- The manuscript must follow the journal's template and formatting, referencing, and bibliographic requirements.
- The article must include a clear title, abstract, and keywords in accordance with the journal's instructions.
- All quotations, sources, references, tables, and figures must be properly acknowledged.

- All authors' names, institutional affiliations, and contact details must be accurate.

The editorial team reserves the right to return submissions that do not comply with the journal's requirements before proceeding to peer review.

3. Double-Blind Peer Review Requirements

The journal follows a **Double-Blind Peer Review** process, whereby reviewers do not know the identity of the authors, and authors are not informed of the reviewers' identities.

To facilitate anonymous review, authors must:

- Remove their names and institutional affiliations from the manuscript file intended for review.
- Remove identifying information from the text and document properties wherever possible.
- Avoid wording that directly reveals their identity during the review process, where reasonably practicable.
- Enter their personal and institutional details in the appropriate ASJP fields or in a separate file, as instructed by the journal.

The editorial team is responsible for checking the anonymity of manuscripts before sending them to reviewers.

4. Manuscript Evaluation Process

Submissions undergo the following stages.

Stage 1: Initial Editorial Screening

The editorial team examines each submission to determine whether it fits the journal's scope and complies with its scientific, formal, and ethical requirements. Manuscripts may be returned for correction or rejected at this stage, with an explanation where appropriate.

Stage 2: Peer Review

Manuscripts that pass the initial screening are sent to at least two independent reviewers with relevant expertise. Reviewers assess the originality, methodology, significance, accuracy, referencing, and overall scholarly quality of the article.

Stage 3: Editorial Decision

Based on the reviewers' reports, the editorial team may decide to:

- Accept the article without revisions.

- Accept the article subject to minor revisions.
- Request major revisions and, where necessary, further review.
- Reject the article.

A third independent reviewer may be appointed when the initial reports substantially disagree or when further expert assessment is required.

5. Revisions and Resubmission

Authors must respond clearly and systematically to reviewers' comments, indicating the revisions made to the manuscript. If certain recommendations are not adopted, authors should provide sound scholarly reasons.

Revised manuscripts must be resubmitted within the deadline specified by the editorial team. A request for revision does not guarantee acceptance for publication.

6. Originality and Plagiarism

The journal does not tolerate plagiarism or other forms of academic misconduct, including unattributed copying, inappropriate reuse of previously published work, and the use of others' ideas or data without proper acknowledgment.

Submissions may be screened for textual similarity and other indicators of potential misconduct. Similarity reports are assessed in context; a similarity percentage alone does not constitute conclusive evidence of plagiarism.

The journal reserves the right to reject manuscripts or take other appropriate action when an ethical violation is established.

7. Authors' Responsibilities

Authors are responsible for the accuracy and integrity of their articles, including the data, results, and references presented. They must disclose relevant funding and competing interests, obtain any necessary ethical approvals, respect intellectual property rights, and cooperate with the editorial team in addressing concerns about their work.

8. Tracking a Submission

Authors can follow the progress of their submissions through their ASJP accounts, where they can access available information about editorial processing, peer review, and decisions.

All submissions and submission-related correspondence should follow the procedures provided on the journal's official ASJP page.